



Update from the Field – September 2019

A monthly analysis note from the energy storage experts

Table of contents

Executive summary	2
New regulations and initiatives discussed this month	5
Americas	5
United States	5
Europe	5
France	5
United Kingdom	5
Oceania	6
Australia	6
Project updates and announcements	7
Overview of the 2019 market for utility-scale energy storage projects	7
Projects announced or contracted this month	7
Africa	8
Americas	8
Australia & Oceania	9
Projects commissioned this month	10
Americas	10
Europe	10
Australia & Oceania	11
Tenders this month	11
Americas	11
Asia	11
Europe	12
Africa	12
Focus of the month: FERC Order 841 and the rise of merchant revenues for energy stora	ge in the
USA	13
Energy storage in the United States of America – current status	13
PV-plus-storage schemes and the ITC	15
Utilities interest in energy storage	16
The future market changes due to FERC Order 841 and RTOs responses	17
Order 841: context and RTO responses/implementation	17
An illustration of the opportunities created by the implementation of Order 841	29
Appendices	31



Update from the Field – September 2019

A monthly analysis note from the energy storage experts

Table of figures

Figure 1: Frequency trace on the UK event on 9 August 2019	6
Figure 2: Utility-scale energy storage projects announced and commissioned in 2018 and 20 (ongoing)	
Figure 3. Map of deployed large-scale energy storage volumes in the USA to date	14
Figure 4. Map of forecasted volumes of large-scale energy storage in the USA based on project developer communications	
Figure 5. Main applications for large-scale energy storage in the USA	15
Figure 6. Recent mandates, targets, and efforts to develop energy storage in the United States	16
Figure 7. Overview of the compliance of each RTO with FERC Order 841	18
Figure 8. Asset types of a continuous storage facility	19
Figure 9. Role of Continuous Storage Facilities in New England's Wholesale Market	19
Figure 10. Energy storage participation model and resource types proposed by ISO-NE	20
Figure 11. Charging Energy characteristics based on system final use	22
Figure 12. Energy storage participation model proposed by PJM	22
Figure 13. Energy storage participation models proposed by CAISO	24
Figure 14. Energy storage participation model proposed by NYISO	26
Figure 15. Energy storage participation model proposed by SPP	27
Figure 16. Energy storage participation model proposed by MISO	29
Figure 17: Discounted costs and revenues of a 10 MW / 20 MWh (useable) stand-alone batter storage system deployed on the ISO-NE network	-
Figure 18. FERC Order 841 Docket Reference per RTO	31
Figure 19. Regional Transmission Organizations	31